NANCY J. MARVEL Regional Counsel

2007 SEP 28 AM 10: 36

BRIAN P. RIEDEL Assistant Regional Counsel United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105 (415) 972-3924

U.S. EFA REGION IX REGIONAL HEARING CLERK

UNITED STATES
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
REGION IX
75 HAWTHORNE STREET
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105

In the Matter of:

Docket No. EPCRA-\$-2007 00 2 9

Rockford Corporation

COMPLAINT AND NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY FOR HEARING

Respondent.

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

This is a civil administrative action instituted pursuant to Section 325(c) of Title III of the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 11001 et seq., also known as the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act of 1986 ("EPCRA"), for violation of Section 313 of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11023, and the federal regulations promulgated to implement Section 313 at 40 C.F.R Part 372. Complainant is the Director of the Communities and Ecosystems Division, United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX ("EPA"), who has been duly delegated the authority to bring this action. Respondent is Rockford Corporation. This Complaint and Notice of Opportunity for Hearing ("Complaint") serves as notice that Complainant finds that Respondent violated Section 313 of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11023, and the federal regulations promulgated to implement Section 313 at 40 C.F.R Part 372.

5

6

1

2

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

22

24

25 26

27

- 3

1

- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

- 1. Pursuant to Sections 313 and 328 of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 11023 and 11048, EPA promulgated the Toxic Chemical Release Reporting: Community Right-to-Know Rule at 40 C.F.R. Part 372.
- Section 313(a) of EPCRA, as implemented by 40 C.F.R. § 372.30, provides that an owner or operator of a facility that meets the criteria set forth in EPCRA Section 313(b) and 40 C.F.R. § 372.22, is required to submit annually to the Administrator of EPA and to the State in which the facility is located, no later than July 1st of each year, a toxic chemical release inventory reporting form (hereinafter "Form R") for each toxic chemical listed under 40 C.F.R. § 372.65 that was manufactured, processed or otherwise used at the facility during the preceding calendar year in quantities exceeding the thresholds established under EPCRA Section 313(f) and 40 C.F.R. §§ 372.25 and 372.28.
- 3. Section 313(b) of EPCRA and 40 C.F.R. § 372.22 provide that the requirements of Section 313(a) and 40 C.F.R. § 372.30 apply to an owner and operator of a facility that has 10 or more full-time employees; that is in a Standard Industrial Classification major group codes 10 (except 1011, 1081, and 1094), 12 (except 1241), 20 through 39; industry codes 4911, 4931, or 4939 (limited to facilities that combust coal and/or oil for the purpose of generating power for distribution in commerce), or 4953 (limited to facilities regulated under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, subtitle C, 42 U.S.C.

§6921 et seq.), or 5169, 5171, or 7389 (limited to facilities primarily engaged in solvent recovery services on a contract or fee basis); and that manufactures, processes, or otherwise uses one or more toxic chemicals listed under Section 313(c) of EPCRA and 40 C.F.R. § 372.65 in quantities in excess of the applicable thresholds established under EPCRA Section 313(f) and 40 C.F.R. §§ 372.25 and 372.28.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- Respondent is a "person" as that term is defined by Section 329(7) of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11049(7).
- 5. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Respondent was an owner and operator of a "facility," as that term is defined by Section 329(4) of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11049(4) and 40 C.F.R. § 372.3, which is located at 546 S. Rockford Drive, Tempe, Arizona (hereinafter "Facility").
- 6. At all times relevant to this Complaint, the Facility had 10 or more "full-time employees," as that term is defined at 40 C.F.R. § 372.3.
- 7. The Facility is classified in Standard Industrial Classification code 3651, which falls within the Standard Industrial Classification code 36.

COUNT I

Failure to File Timely Form R for Lead Compounds for Calendar Year 2002

- 8. Paragraphs 1 through 7 are realleged and incorporated herein by reference.
 - 9. During calendar year 2002, Respondent processed

- 10. The quantity of lead compounds that Respondent processed at the Facility during calendar year 2002 exceeds the established threshold of 100 pounds set forth at 40 C.F.R. § 372.28.
- 11. Respondent failed to submit a Form R for lead compounds to the EPA Administrator and to the State of Arizona on or before July 1, 2003.
- 12. Respondent's failure to submit a timely Form R for lead compounds that Respondent processed at the Facility during calendar year 2002 constitutes a violation of Section 313 of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11023, and 40 C.F.R. § 372.30.

COUNT II

Failure to File Timely Form R for Lead Compounds for Calendar Year 2003

- 13. Paragraphs 1 through 7 are realleged and incorporated herein by reference.
- 14. During calendar year 2003, Respondent processed approximately 25,996 pounds of lead compounds, a chemical category listed under 40 C.F.R. § 372.65.
- 15. The quantity of lead compounds that Respondent processed at the Facility during calendar year 2003 exceeds the established threshold of 100 pounds set forth at 40 C.F.R. § 372.28.
- 16. Respondent failed to submit a Form R for lead compounds to the EPA Administrator and to the State of Arizona on or before July 1, 2004.

 17. Respondent's failure to submit a timely Form R for lead compounds that Respondent processed at the Facility during calendar year 2003 constitutes a violation of Section 313 of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11023, and 40 C.F.R. Part 372.

COUNT III

Failure to File Timely Form R for Lead Compounds for Calendar Year 2004

- 18. Paragraphs 1 through 7 are realleged and incorporated herein by reference.
- 19. During calendar year 2004, Respondent processed approximately 20,796 pounds of lead compounds, a chemical category listed under 40 C.F.R. § 372.65.
- 20. The quantity of lead compounds that Respondent processed at the Facility during calendar year 2004 exceeds the established threshold of 100 pounds set forth at 40 C.F.R. § 372.28.
- 21. Respondent failed to submit a Form R for lead compounds to the EPA Administrator and to the State of Arizona on or before July 1, 2005.
- 22. Respondent's failure to submit a timely Form R for lead compounds that Respondent processed at the Facility during calendar year 2004 constitutes a violation of Section 313 of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11023, and 40 C.F.R. Part 372.

PROPOSED CIVIL PENALTY

Section 325(c) of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11045(c) and 40 C.F.R. Part 19 authorize EPA to assess a penalty of up to \$27,500 for each violation of Section 313 of EPCRA that occurred on or after January 31, 1997 but before March 15, 2004 and up to \$32,500 for

each violation that occurred on or after March 15, 2004. Based
on the violations cited in this Complaint, on the nature,
circumstances, extent and gravity of the violations alleged, and
on the degree of Respondent's culpability, as set forth in the
Enforcement Response Policy for Section 313 of EPCRA dated August
10, 1992 (a copy of which is enclosed), EPA proposes that
Respondent be assessed the following civil penalty for the
violations alleged in this Complaint:
COUNT I

Failure to sub	mit a timely	Form R for	lead compounds	for calendar
year 2002:				

Circumstance Level 1, Extent Level A \$ 27,500

COUNT II

Failure to submit a timely Form R for lead compounds for calendar year 2003:

COUNT III

Failure to submit a timely Form R for lead compounds for calendar year 2004:

Total Penalty Proposed.....\$ 87,500

NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY TO REQUEST A HEARING

You have the right to request a formal hearing to contest any material fact set forth in this Complaint or to contest the appropriateness of the proposed penalty. Any hearing requested will be conducted in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. § 551 et seq., and the Consolidated Rules of

Practice Governing the Administrative Assessment of Civil
Penalties and the Revocation/Termination or Suspension of Permits
("Consolidated Rules of Practice"), 40 C.F.R. Part 22. A copy of
the Consolidated Rules of Practice is enclosed with this
Complaint.

You must file a written Answer within thirty (30) days of receiving this Complaint to avoid being found in default, which constitutes an admission of all facts alleged in the Complaint and a waiver of the right to a hearing, and to avoid having the above penalty assessed without further proceedings. If you choose to file an Answer, you are required by the Consolidated Rules of Practice to clearly and directly admit, deny, or explain each of the factual allegations contained in this Complaint to which you have any knowledge. If you have no knowledge of a particular fact and so state, the allegation is considered denied. Failure to deny any of the allegations in this Complaint will constitute an admission of the undenied allegation.

The Answer shall also state the circumstances and arguments, if any, which are alleged to constitute the grounds of defense, and shall specifically request an administrative hearing, if desired. If you deny any material fact or raise any affirmative defense, you will be considered to have requested a hearing.

The Answer must be filed with:

Regional Hearing Clerk USEPA, Region IX 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105

In addition, please send a copy of the Answer and all other

documents that you file in this action to:

Brian Riedel
Assistant Regional Counsel
Office of Regional Counsel (ORC-2)
USEPA, Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105

Mr. Riedel is the attorney assigned to represent EPA in this matter. His telephone number is (415)972-3924.

You are further informed that the Consolidated Rules of Practice prohibit any ex parte (unilateral) discussion of the merits of any action with the Regional Administrator, Regional Judicial Officer, Administrative Law Judge, or any person likely to advise these officials in the decision of the case, after the Complaint is issued.

INFORMAL SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE

EPA encourages all parties against whom a civil penalty is proposed to pursue the possibility of settlement through informal conferences. Therefore, whether or not you request a hearing, you may confer informally with EPA through Brian Riedel, the EPA attorney assigned to this case, regarding the facts of this case, the amount of the proposed penalty, and the possibility of settlement. An informal settlement conference does not, however, affect your obligation to file an Answer to this Complaint.

ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION

The parties also may engage in any process within the scope of the Alternative Dispute Resolution Act, 5 U.S.C. § 581 et seq., which may facilitate voluntary settlement efforts. Dispute resolution using alternative means of dispute resolution does not

divest the Presiding Officer of jurisdiction nor does it automatically stay the proceeding.

QUICK RESOLUTION

Instead of requesting an informal settlement conference or filing an Answer requesting a hearing, you may choose to resolve the proceeding by paying the specific penalty proposed in the Complaint and filing a copy of the check or other instrument of payment with the Regional Hearing Clerk within thirty (30) days after receiving the Complaint. If you wish to resolve the proceeding in this manner instead of filing an answer but need additional time to pay the penalty, you may file a written statement stating that you agree to pay the proposed penalty in accordance with 40 C.F.R § 22.18(a)(1) with the Regional Hearing Clerk within 30 days after receiving the Complaint. The written statement need not contain any response to, or admission of, the allegations in the Complaint. Within sixty (60) days after receiving the Complaint, the full amount of the proposed penalty must be paid. Failure to make such payment within this sixty-day period may subject you to default. Upon receipt of payment in full, the Regional Judicial Officer will issue a final order. Payment by a respondent shall constitute a waiver of the respondent's rights to contest the allegations and to appeal the final order. In addition, full payment of the proposed penalty shall only resolve Respondent's liability for Federal civil penalties for violations and facts alleged in the Complaint and does not affect the right of EPA or the United States to pursue

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

appropriate injunctive or other equitable relief or criminal sanctions for any violations of law.

CONSENT AGREEMENT AND FINAL ORDER

EPA has the authority, where appropriate, to modify the amount of the proposed penalty to reflect any settlement reached with you in an informal conference or through alternative dispute resolution. The terms of such an agreement would be embodied in a Consent Agreement and Final Order. A Consent Agreement signed by both parties would be binding as to all terms and conditions specified therein when the Regional Judicial Officer signs the Final Order.

Date: 9-28-7007

Acting Director

Communities and Ecosystems Division

U.S. EPA, Region IX

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that the original and foregoing Complaint and Notice of Opportunity for Hearing, Docket Number EPCRA-09-2007 0, 229 filed today with the Regional Hearing Clerk, U.S. EPA, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California 94105, and that a true and correct copy of:

- (1) the Complaint;
- (2) the Consolidated Rules of Practice, 40 C.F.R. Part 22; and
- (3) the Enforcement Response Policy for Section 313 of the Emergency Planning and Community Right-To-Know Act (1986) and Section 6607 of the Pollution Prevention Act (1990) (amended), dated April 12, 2001

were placed in the United States Mail, certified mail, return receipt requested, addressed to the following:

Mark Matson Vice President of Operations Rockford Corporation 600 South Rockford Drive PO Box 1860 Tempe, Arizona 85280-1860

Certified Return Receipt Article No: 7005 3110 0002 8247 1841

Dated:

Russ Frazer

TRI Program Enforcement Officer

Toxics Office, Community and Ecosystems Division United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX